Tahra Ahmed... A Perfect Scapegoat to Induce Further Fear and Block EVERYONE'S Freedom of speech

What happens when your love of truth becomes more important than what other people think of you" Tahra Ahmed.

As I sit here scribbling away, wondering if my efforts will get ANY coverage because it's blatantly obvious that the subject matter is far too sensitive or risky for the livelihood and careers of many to even touch, I write with the priviliges afforded by an increasingly cruel master-slave society when one has not only no longer any fear of death; I also hope, many others reading this will see that it is NOT just about "Freedom's just another word for nothing left to lose" as a popular song once stated, but the realization that no price or effort is too high, fighting for self-worth and respect, that those who appreciate the true value of dignity know it is far better to die free than live like a slave.

I am not and do not have any ambitions to become a professional journalist, public figure, have any kind of indefinite public platform (have always avoided having any social accounts like the plague), be a self-appointed leader etc. I am merely, or at least hope to be a medium for truth, will fight for justice wherever there is injustice, like so many other researchers and truth seekers out there, and if I put my own life in danger by choosing NOT to avoid certain subjects it's because TRUTH does indeed matter more than anything and is an essential part of true freedom.

Like someone once mentioned in Alfred Hitcock's film Saboteur,

"I must pay the penalty that the noble, fine and pure pay in this world. Being misjudged by everyone."

Well then... if such a statement not only resonates in me, in Tahra but so many others, we shouldn't let dirty establishment tactics silence us, in their hope to stop us fighting for the kind of world that won't misjudge us.

And so we dive into Tahra Ahmed's case, who has been found guilty and imprisoned for posting a couple of comments five years ago, and which curiously enough, she has not been trialed for until very recently (five years later), in this very convinient Covid era, used to muzzle and curtail so many of our freedoms, perhaps the most crucial and important of them all, our freedom of speech, expression and basic human instincts to actually HAVE an opinion.

Having recently had the chance to know Tahra more closely as well as watching no less than eight days of trial (5, 6, 7 and 10 to 14th of January 2022) from the gallery has only further confirmed what a corrupt system and establishment we all live in, how trials not only suspiciously take place at particular times, but how the final sentences conviniently side, fit and stroke the establishment's views of whatever the current socio-political situation may be.

Even though Tahra's posts include a wide range of issues, only 27 pages out of 738 have been selected to take things out of context and eventually pass a guilty verdict and prison sentence.

Considering how many other nationalities and kinds of terrorism Tahra Ahmed has posted about before, one has to wonder WHY such apparent offense has been caused, only when she decided to mention an elite minority of a controlling cabal at the very top, which a lot of truth seekers and researchers out there also refer to as "our self-appointed leaders" some of which just so happen to be Jewish.

Tahra has been put her through the pillary in a blatanly humiliating manner by brutally arresting, keeping her in an A level prison for six days and performing the trial in the Old Bailey; a place meant for murderers. British "justice" is acting in a shockingly disproportionate manner, ignoring Tahra's highly anemically emotional state when writing such posts. It is an outrage that only TWO quotes have been focused on to bilify and falsely claim racist and antisemitic intentions.

How many posts have most of us made in a generalized manner, without any intention to discriminate against anyone, but such posts have passed unnoticed. No one gets punished at the Old Bailey for it. Tahra's intentions were FAR from racist, being highly distraut and exhausted, helping victims from the Grenfell Tower fires. As Tahra herself has stated, "I spent a long period researching Catholicism and exposing THAT, but nobody said I was antiCatholicism".

The establishment has a very incoherent way of focusing or obsessing to protect rights when a certain group of people are mentioned, yet any other group is less cared about, covered through media and mostly ignored. Only ONE group have been insistently painted as the most unfortunate victims in history, as if millions of others had not suffered just as much or even more, but even academia is designed to concentrate on the suffering of a few, but not teach about the barbarities also suffered by others. If you've never wondered WHY that is, perhaps it's time you did. I urge you to imagine just what would have happened if instead of mentioning the word Jews forgetting to put the words Satanistic, Zionist or elite minority in front of it, Tahra had referenced Muslims or anyone else other than Jews. Would she have been arrested? Would it have been overlooked, even ignored? Has anyone reading this right now never become passionate about any ONE paticular group, religion, culture etc., yet have not been arrested for it? Then WHY has Tahra? I live that for the reader to think about.

It is claimed that Parliament limits frredom of expression in terms of racial hatred to live without being stigmatized or abused. Tahra has repeatedly clarified that racial hatred or antisemitism were never her intentions, but she was put through eight days of humiliation at the Old Bailey nonetheless, painting her in a completely unrealistic fashion.

So what exactly has she been accused, charged and found guilty of?

Stirring up racial hatred on Facebook, posting material which was threatening, abusive or insulting intending thereby to stir up racial hatred or, having regard to all the circumstances, whereby racial hatred was likely to be stirred up.

The ridiculousness of the above is surreal, not only because Tahra was born in Pakistan, has a huge variety of friends from all backgrounds, including some which are Jewish, but her life alone places her far from someone who would ever intentionally be racist or antisemitic. On the contrary, her history shows concern for the well being of everyone. This link is just ONE example of her real concerns: https://youtube.com/watch?v=ORAQ2ONEmgA

Further supporting evidence of Tahra's innocence were the number of character witnesses and their statements with exactly seven favorable statements against just ONE apparent "expert" in "antisemitism", presented by the prosecution. If that doesn't already have a suspicious ring as to what the final "guilty" outcome was, then here are some of the character statements very telling contributions,

"...sometimes things can come across as something you wouldn't represent. It can be taken out of context with what Tahra actually meant. You get that in all relations. Like ISIS, they call themselves Muslims, but I wouldn't say that they're Muslims".

"I know she's not racist or antisemitic in any way. I can't comment on a sentence out of context, when you say Jewish it's like saying Muslims doing terrorists activities, it's not ALL Muslims, it's not me. Tahra didn't say ALL Jewish people.

There's no way that I'm gonna believe that she wrote it to say ALL Jewish people do this."

"I know her and she would never do anything negative. I don't think there's anything wrong with sharing historical information and letting people react to that."

"Because she's a Life Coach, she encourges people. Gave a friend with anxiety, including me, personal advice. She's someone to go to when you're in trouble."

Talking about the famous posts referencing Grenfell tower: "That the treatment of people was appalling and there was a bigger agenda behind it, that's what I remember about them. She wasn't addressing the whole Jewish community, but a small group at the top."

"If there's wrong doing in the world, we should point it out regardless of religion, race, etc."

"Advocates strongly for women, helps people to step into their authority, helping you to speak from a place of equality rather than fear. I'm Jewish myself".

"Everything she says is backed up. I was really shocked, hurt and taken aback when hearing what she's on trial for."

This "trial" insisted such posts referred to ALL Jews and even if that had been the case, which it wasn't, I certainly hope we keep defending the right to live in a society where one is allowed an opinion, and where such an exercise does not suddenly become a double standard game where it is considered a crime, but only depending on what group of people or uncomfortable information you happen to mention. Where's the evidence of purposely instigating racial hatred against Jews? It's been five years.

In measuring the proportionality when exercising freedom of speech, it is impossible to create an exact science. With a complete lack of evidence, WHERE do you draw the line to know if ANY comment deliberately raises hatred or criminality, and HOW can you just ASSUME that it has, especially from someone who's every action and decissions in life point to a fully practicing humanitarian who couldn't be more far removed from discriminating in any way.

Questions were raised, such as what are the limits to someone's freedom of expression and ideas, regardless of frontiers, exercises which seem to carry with them duties and responsibilities, including the rights to others. Or at least that's what an apparently liberal society claims to be concerned with. But with no past history of criminality, problems with the law or evidence of any harm being produced due to such posts, then HOW can the entire legal system think it has a perfect measuring tape and entitlement to make decissions with such relative issues based on the "likeliness" of anything happening. Under the latter consideration, then we should all make ourselves deaf, mute and blind! We are basically under the tutelage and limits set by our official authorities, who insist that what THEIR apparently "democratic" decisions include can't be questioned, even when their interpretations of such duties and responsibilities seem to change depending on the subject matter and according to convinience. However, what I HAVE observed by witnessing three very recent trials, is how easily the establishment manipulates such open for interpretation notions in

order to threaten, even imprison anyone who challenges them, simply for speaking the truth.

It is no coincidence that so far, I have witnessed three very intelligent, eloquent, passionate women being punished, such as Debbie Hicks, Fiona Hyne and now, Tahra Ahmed. It's not just their semi or fully blown public platforms or popularity that make them uncomfortable to the establishment, but their ability to communicate and resonate with all of us every time they express themselves. They represent the voice of the unheard, the misunderstood and the millions whom, without them, then a significant amount would either cease to continue striving for justice or believe their hopes for true freedom are a lost cause. Therefore, it is such inspiring figures that are always targeted with the intention not only to weaken them, but in Tahra's case, completely silence them, treating simple posts as if they were murder and ultimately muzzle or lock her up, where she will not only pose the least threat, but produce fear in ALL of us to speak the truth, never mind actually fighting for our most fundemental and basic rights.

Further considerations such as having the right of expression not being an unqualified right with the responsibility not to infringe the rights of others were emphasized. That we are subject to formalities, conditions, restrictions or penalties necessary in a democratic society, all for the protection of others. So it's a qualified right that restrictions can only be put in place by law. And yet it all sounds too familiar of how such terms are not only completely open for interpretation which so many establishments through the ages have conviniently manipulated, but which has had most of us agonizing for freedom and a true sense of democracy which is yet to be found, never mind experienced. It is the very dishonest abuse of such "moralities" that has had everyone's freedoms curtailed at breakneck speed for the last year and ten months and our very souls gasping for air, because if such formalities, conditions, restrictions and penalties in the name of "the greater good" include accepting draconian measurements which violate basic human rights, including the right to express how we're feeling on social media posts, then this is not life, this isn't even merely existing, it's worse, much worse, this is both the totalitarian muzzle of George Orwell's 1984 as well as the pharmaceutical dictatorship of Aldous Huxley's Brave New World. It is suffering with no end of date in sight!

What has happened to Tahra is a scandal because...

- 1. You don't prosecute everybody who writes something apparently offensive on social media.
- 2. If all people with strong views were put on trial, the courts would be overflowed. Having strong views is not the same as stirring up racial hatred.
- 3. Suspicions of her intentions is not enough. You have to be absolutely sure. You need a house of brick and you can't convict someone of a crime you're not sure has been committed. Just because you may not like what someone posts, you can't just imprison them. Suspicion is a house of straw, you have to be sure about the intention to raise racial hatred.
- 4. Freedom of speech is the right to tell people what they may not want to hear, even when publishing material which some may find provocative or insultive. Not everyone will ever agree 100% with your views and it is certainly no reason to try to lock someone up for seven years, or indeed ANY period of time.
- 5. Where's the tolerance of opinion of those who disagree with official ideas, status quo, etc.? For instance, can people show pro-Palestinian sentiment without being accused of antisemitism?

Another example that completely mistaken assumptions have been made about the effect of Tahra's posts is how during the first six and a half months in which her posts were there, no backlash happened. Backlash only occurred AFTER the article in *The Times* against her was printed.

Quite frankly, the evidence just isn't there to have convicted her of such offences. This is a blatant attack directed at ALL of us, and Tahra has carefully been chosen as a beacon example by the establishment in the hope we will all chop off our own wings.

Being present once more on the last day of sentencing at the gallery (11th February 2022) only further increased what so blatantly smelled of a totally corrupt pre-concieved sentence. Here are the most telling observations:

- a) Regardless the fact the gallery was able to hold twenty-one people, only nine of us were let in by special order of the Judge as told to us by one of the security guards. This alerted me immediatly that the outcome was going to be highly unfavorable and the powers that be were already trying to minimize any possible adverse reaction or conflict from the gallery.
- b) The rule of only nine people was slightly overlooked in terms of also letting in what I can only guess were MSM journalists sitting just behind Tahra's supporters, yet NO Alternative Media journalists were invited or let into the gallery.
- c) Not only was Tahra placed sitting in a different gallery and glassed area of the courtroom where that day she could no longer talk, but two security guards were strategically sitting next to her from the beginning. Obviously EVERYONE must have known they were sending her to prison by the end of it all. If not, one guard would have been more than enough. What a farce!
- d) The final telling nail into the coffin was Judge Mark Dennis going threw the entire theatricals of not only reviewing the main points of the trial but listening once more to both defence and prosecution, only to finally open a pre-printed sentence in his file

just to read out something he obviously had no intention of changing his mind about. Why else have it so neatly printed in the first place?

As Mr. Mewton, (Tahra's barrister) very eloquently pointed out, she has just made history being the first to have been trialed in a criminal court and sent to prison for merely posting on social media. Just as the establishment is currently trying to repeal the Human Rights Law, Tahra's freedom of speech as well as other inspiring figures like her, is OUR freedom of speech. So spread the word, show this article to everyone you know, support Tahra in any way you can.

Tahra is now being held in prison for a minimum of five months:

HMP Bronzefield Ashford Middlesex TW15 3JZ T. 01 784 425 690 https://www.hmpbronzefield.co.uk/html

Tahra also needs help to raise funds to find a new barrister in order to appeal the guilty verdict decision:

https://donorbox.org/supporting-tahra-and-all-our-freedom-of-speech

A special email address has also been set for you to communicate or offer your help in any way you can:

freedomawareness@protonmail.com

Her case represents all of us. Don't let your freedoms die out spark by irreplaceable spark. By fighting for her you're fighting for your own rights, your own life!

"I must have the freedom to say my truth, especially to power." George Orwell.